

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Using States Palest and Tridessert. Office Admin. COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.C. Dept. (Vignes 2315-459) www.saps.gev

FIRST NAMEO INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO APPLICATION NO. 10/714,903 11/18/2003 Zo-Chun Jen JENZJ014/EM EXAMBLE 06/18/2004 BACON & THOMAS, PLLC EDWARDS, NEWTON O 625 SLATERS LANE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER FOURTH FLOOR ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

DATE MAILED: 06/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/714 903 JEN, ZO-CHUN Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit 1774 N Edwards - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -

Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM

THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of true may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be truely find

after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statetory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely
 If NO panied for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory paried will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the making data of this common statement of the specified above.

Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ASANOONED (35 U.S.C. § 139).

Any reply received by the Office label than three morths after the mailing date of this communication, even if family filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)

Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a)

All b)

Some * c)

None of:

1 M Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mai Date __

4) T Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: _____

Application/Control Number: 10/714,903

Art Unit: 1774

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification is objected under 35, U.S.C. 112 and 37 CFR 1.71 because: it fails to provide an adequate written description of the invention and fails to provide and enabling disclosure.

The specification as filed fails to set forth 1) the type of molecular weight for component B polyalkylene glycol. See page 3-10 of spec. There is no guidance or direction of the type of molecular use to make the modified polyester filament of claim 1 in component B polyalkylene glycol. There are no working examples of the type of molecular weight use for the polyethylene glycol of claim 3. Thus, there would be an undue experimentation burden on the public to practice the invention as claimed.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for the reasons above in the objection.

To overcome the above rejection Applicant should file a CIP application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Edwards at telephone number 571-272-1521,

Edwards/tod

May 25, 2004

N.EDWARDS